## BEFORE THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL BENGALURU BENCH C.P.NO. 18/2016

DATED: TUESDAY THE 25TH DAY OF APRIL 2017 PRESENT: SHRI RATAKONDA MURALI, MEMBER JUDICIAL SHRI. ASHOK KUMAR MISHRA, MEMBER TECHNICAL

IN THE MATTER OF COMPANIES ACT, 2013 SECTION 621A UNDER SECTION 211(3A) & (3B) READ WITH ACCOUNTING STANDARD-19 OF THE COMPANIES ACT, 1956 AND

## IN THE MATTER OF KURLON LIMITED

## C.P.NO. 18/2016

- 1. Mrs. Jaya S. Pai- Director, #5, Ananth Nagar, Manipal, Udupi-576119
- 2. Shri K.T. Bhagat Director, #47, Shashi Kiran apartments, Flat No.607, 18th Cross, Malleshwaram, Bangalore-560003.
- 3. Dr. Nitin G.Khot Director, "Khot Villa", B.C -27, Fort, Belgaum-590016.
- 4. Mrs. Rashmi Rastogi Company Secretary, H.No.15, RKS Bakery Cross, Akashnagar PWD Main Road, B. Narayanapura, Bangalore-560036.

APPLICANTS

PARTIES PRESENTED:

Mr. K. Dushyantha Kumar, Practicing Company Secretary, No. 71, Shop Street, 9th Cross, 2nd Block, Javanagar, Bangalore-560011 Authorised representatives for the Applicants.

Heard on: 06/12/2016, 21/12/2016, 19/01/2017, 28/02/2017, 30/03/2017 and 05/04/2017

## ORDER

This petition is filed by the Applicants for the purpose of compounding for violation of provisions of Section 211(3A) & (3B) Read with Accounting Standard-19 of the Companies Act, 1956 for offence committed during the financial year ending 31st March 2006. A

The averments made in the Company Petition are briefly described hereunder:-

The Company Kurlon Limited was incorporated under the Companies Act, 1956 on 9<sup>th</sup> February 1962 as a Public Limited Company vide Registration No. **001443.** The Registered office of the Company is situated at N-301, III Floor, North Block, Front Wing, Manipal Centre, 47 Dickenson Road, Bangalore-560042.

The Authorised Share Capital of the Company is Rs. 35,00,00,000/-(Rupees Thirty five Crores only) consisting of 3,50,00,000 Equity Shares of Rs 10/- each. The issued, subscribed and paid up share capital is Rs. 14,88,26,050/-.

The Main objects of the Company is to carry on the business of manufactures and dealers of all kinds of coir fibre, Coir Fleeces, Coir Flax, coir, boards, coir briquettes and converters of coir fibre into materials like mats, mattresses, carpets, runners, chords ropes of all kinds and varieties etc., Details of the objects of the Company are mentioned in the Memorandum of Association of the Company.

It is averred in the petition that, during the technical scrutiny of the Balance sheet as at 31/03/2006 by the Registrar of Companies, Karnataka, Bangalore, it has noticed that, the Board has not furnished details of guarantee provided to third parties as required under the provisions of Section 211(3A) & (3B) Read with Accounting Standard-19 of the Companies Act, 1956 and issued Show Cause Notice bearing No. ROCB/TS/BS/SCN/211(3A)/(3B)/1443/2010/SVK dated 20th August 2010 for the said violation.

It is further averred that, the company could not reply to the aforesaid Show Cause Notice due to administrative reasons and it was unintentional and there has been a delay of more than five years and the matter is pending before the court of Economic Offences, Bangalore.

The Company alleges that during the said period, it has provided adequate details with regard to corporate guarantee given to other Companies and the same has been reflected under Note B-1 of Schedule 12 of the accounts of the company. The company wishes to go for compounding of offences since it was inadvertently done without professional advice during this period.

Ani

The Practicing Company Secretary for the applicants stated that, the Company has filed e-form GNL-1 with the Registrar of Companies, Karnataka, Bangalore on 23<sup>rd</sup> May 2016 prior to the Notification dated 1<sup>st</sup> June 2016 issued by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs and as such prayed to dispose off this matter in accordance with the provisions of the Companies Act, 1956.

We have seen the Show Cause Notice issued by the Registrar of Companies, Karnataka, Bangalore to the applicants in which, it is clearly stated that, the Board has not furnished details of guarantee provided to third parties and thus not reflecting the true and fair view of the affairs of the company as required under section 211(3A) and (3B) read with Accounting Standard – 19 of the Companies Act, 1956.

Section 211 (3A) of the Companies Act, 1956 reads as follows:-

"Every profit and loss account and balance sheet of the company shall comply with the accounting standards."

- (3B) Where the profit and loss account and the balance sheet of the company do not comply with the accounting standards, such companies shall disclose in its profit and loss account and balance sheet, the following namely:-
- (a) the deviation from the accounting standards;
- (b) the reasons for such deviation; and
- (c) the financial effect, if any, arising due to such deviation

Section 211(7) of the Companies Act, 1956 is penal provision for violation reads as follows:-

"If any such person as is referred to in sub-section (6) of section 209 fails to take all reasonable steps to secure compliance by the company, as respects any accounts laid before the company in general meeting, with the provisions of this section and with the other requirements of this Act as to the matters to be stated in the accounts, he shall, in respect of each offence, be punishable with imprisonment for a term which may extend to six months, or with fine which may extend to ten thousand rupees, or with both:

This Application was filed under section 621A of the Companies Act, 1956 before the Registrar of Companies, Karnataka, Bangalore. The provisions of section 441 of the Companies Act, 2013 came into effect from 1<sup>st</sup> June 2016. Therefore, this application is to be decided under the provisions of section 621A of the Companies Act, 1956.

We have heard the Practicing Company Secretary for Applicants. This Application is filed under section 621A of the Companies Act, 1956 for compounding. It is also an undisputed fact that, prosecution was launched against the Applicants which is pending before the Hon'ble Special Court for Economic Offences at Bangalore bearing C.C No. 405/2015 in respect of the violation. The question whether offence can be compounded even though prosecution was pending against the Applicants. It is contended that, even though prosecution was launched against the Applicants, yet violation can be compounded by the Tribunal and no prior permission from the Special Court for Economic Offence at Bangalore is required and the power given to the Company Law Board (now Tribunal) under section 621A(1) of the Companies Act, 1956 which is independent of exercise of powers by the court under sub-section (7) and all offences other than those which are punishable with imprisonment only or with imprisonment and also fine can be compounded by the Tribunal without any reference to the sub-section (7) even in cases where the prosecution is pending in a criminal court.

It is true that, the Tribunal has power to compound the offence under section 621A (1) of the Companies Act, 1956, even though prosecution is pending and no permission is required from the Special Court for Economic Offences at Bangalore for compounding the violation. It is also true that, offence can be compounded either before launching prosecution or after institution of prosecution against the Applicants.

This Application was filed through the Registrar of Companies, Karnataka at Bangalore. We have received the report from Registrar of Companies, Karnataka at Bangalore vide Letter No. No. ROCB/SVK/1443/621A/2016 dated 31st October 2016 along with enclosures.

After going through the documents the violation can be compounded even after prosecution is launched against the Applicants which is pending before the Special Court for Economic Offences at Bangalore. Sub clause 4(b) of Section 621A of the Companies Act, 1956 provides, if offence is compounded under this section, whether before or after the institution of any prosecution, an intimation thereof shall be given by the Company to the Registrar within seven (7) days from the date on which the offence is so compounded.

This Applicant was filed through the Registrar of Companies, Karnataka at Bangalore. We have received the report from Registrar of Companies, Karnataka at Bangalore vide Letter No. No. ROCB/SVK/1443/621A/2016 dated 31<sup>st</sup> October 2016 along with enclosures.

After going through the documents the violation can be compounded even after prosecution is launched against the Applicants which is pending before the Special Court for Economic Offences at Bangalore. Sub clause 4(b) of Section 621A of the Companies Act, 1956 provides, if offence is compounded under this section, whether before or after the institution of any prosecution, an intimation thereof shall be given by the Company to the Registrar within seven (7) days from the date on which the offence is so compounded.

Thus, it is clear if offence is compounded after institution of the prosecution, then Registrar has to bring to the notice of the Court where prosecution is pending in writing and on such notice of composition the Court shall discharge the company or its officers against whom prosecution is pending.

From the above discussion, it is clear that Tribunal can compound the offence, even though prosecution is pending against the Applicants and prior permission is not necessary to compound the offence.

We have perused the documents filed by the Applicants and after going through the Company Petition under section 621A of the Companies Act, 1956 and further submissions made by the Practicing Company Secretary for the Applicants and the observations of the Registrar of Companies, Karnataka, Bangalore in his report bearing No. ROCB/SVK/1443/621A/2016 dated 31st October 2016, we hereby levy compounding fee for violation of provision of section 211(3A) and (3B) of the Companies Act, 1956 on the Applicants as shown in the table given below:-

Sl. No.	Particulars	Violation of Sec. 211(3A) and (3B) of the Companies Act, 1956-	Grand Total Rs.	
1	1 <sup>st</sup> Applicant- Director	6,000/-	6,000/-	
2	2 <sup>nd</sup> Applicant- Director	6,000/-		
3	3 <sup>rd</sup> Applicant- Director	6,000/-	6,000/-	
4	4 <sup>th</sup> Applicant- Company Secretary	6,000/-	6,000/-	

In pursuant to our Order dated 10/04/2017 mentioned herein above, the Applicants have paid the compounding fee by depositing 4 Demand Drafts of Axis Bank Ltd. Bangalore drawn on 13/04/2017 in favour of "Pay and Accounts Officer, Ministry of Corporate Affairs, payable at Chennai" as detailed below:-

Sl. No.	Particulars of Applicant	Fee Amount Rs.	DD No. & Date
1	1st Applicant-Director	6,000/-	293348/13.04.2017
2	2 <sup>nd</sup> Applicant-Director	6,000/-	293349/13.04.2017
3	3 <sup>rd</sup> Applicant-Director	6,000/-	293340/13.04.2017
4	4 <sup>th</sup> Applicant-Company Secretary	6,000/-	293341/13.04.2017

As the compounding fee has been remitted by the Applicants, the offence stated in the petition is compounded. A copy of this Order be sent to Registrar of Companies, Karnataka, Bangalore for appropriate action.

(RATAKONDA MURALI) MEMBER, JUDICIAL (ASHOK KUMAR MISHRA) MEMBER, TECHNICAL

DATED THIS THE 25 DAY OF APRIL 2017.